

Semigroup Theory and Evolution Equations

Amiya Kumar Pani
Department of Mathematics
IIT Bombay, Powai
Mumbai- 400076
Email: akp@math.iitb.ac.in

1 Introduction.

In this section, we discuss scalar ODE, system of ODE, and ODEs in infinite-dimensional Banach spaces.

1.1 Scalar ODE.

Simplest ODE that we come across is:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{du}{dt} &= au, \quad t > 0, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0) &= v \in \mathbb{R} \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

Its solution is given by $u(t) = e^{at} v \quad t \geq 0$.

We now make some simple observations depending on the real parameter a .

- If $a < 0$, every solution tends to zero as $t \rightarrow \infty$, that is, zero solution is asymptotically stable.
- In case $a = 0$, then zero solution is stable, but not asymptotically stable.
- If $a > 0$, then zero solution is unstable.

Setting $E(t) = e^{at}$, we note that $\{E(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is a family of bounded linear maps from \mathbb{R} into itself and this family satisfies $E(0) = 1$, $E(t+s) = E(t)E(s)$ and $E(-t) = (E(t))^{-1}$.

Hence, $\{E(t)\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ forms a multiplicative group. Further,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} E(t) = 1 = E(0).$$

If we restrict $t \geq 0$, then $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ forms a semi-group of bounded linear operators. Now to every DE(1.1), we attach a unique family $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ of semigroup satisfying

$$E(0) = 1, \tag{1.2}$$

$$E(t+s) = E(t)E(s), \quad t, s \geq 0, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} E(t) = 1. \tag{1.4}$$

The last property is connected to the uniform continuity property of the family of semigroups.

Conversely to each family $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ satisfying (1.2), we can attach an ODE (1.1), where the generator

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{E(t) - I}{t} = a.$$

Thus, the existence, uniqueness and continuous dependence property for all time (called stability) of the solution of the ODE (1.1) is intimately connected to the family $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ of uniformly continuous semigroup of bounded linear operators whose generator is a .

1.2 System of ODEs.

To generalize it further, consider a system of linear ODEs:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{du}{dt} &= Au, \\ u(0) &= v \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

where for each $t \geq 0$, $u(t) \in \mathbb{R}^N$, A is $N \times N$ real matrix and $v \in \mathbb{R}^N$. This problem has a unique solution for all $t \geq 0$. Its solution can be written as $u(t) = e^{tA} v$. Note that

$$e^{tA} := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^j t^j}{j!} \quad \text{with } A^0 = I, \tag{1.6}$$

where $I = I_{N \times N}$ identity matrix. With $E(t) = e^{tA}$, we write the solution u as $u(t) := E(t)v$. Now consider the family $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$. Note that if B_1 and B_2 are $N \times N$ matrices with B_1 commutes with B_2 , that is $B_1 B_2 = B_2 B_1$, then

$$e^{t(B_1+B_2)} = e^{tB_1} e^{tB_2}.$$

Therefore, the semigroup property

$$E(t+s) = e^{(t+s)A} = e^{tA} e^{sA} = E(t)E(s)$$

is satisfied. Further, for any matrix B subordinated to a norm say $\|\cdot\|$ on \mathcal{R}^N ,

$$\|e^{tB}\| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\|B\|^j t^j}{j!} \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\|B\| t)^j}{j!} = e^{\|B\| t}, \tag{1.7}$$

and hence, the family $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ forms a semigroup of bounded linear operator from \mathbb{R}^N to itself. Observe that this family forms an uniformly continuous semigroup $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ in the sense that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} E(t) = I.$$

Note that its generator is

$$A = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{E(t) - I}{t}.$$

Then, we can associate with a family of uniformly continuous semi-group, the solvability of the system of ODEs (1.5).

In addition, if we assume A is a real symmetric matrix, then A is diagonalizable. Let $\lambda_j, j = 1, \dots, N$ (may be repeated) be the eigenvalues and the corresponding normalized eigenvectors be $\varphi_j, j = 1, \dots, N$. Since A is symmetric, the set of eigenvectors $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^N$

forms an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{R}^N . Then (1.5) can be written in diagonalized form. Since each $u(t)$ is a vector in \mathcal{R}^N , then, we can express

$$u(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j(t) \varphi_j,$$

where α_j $j = 1, \dots, N$ are unknowns and can be found out from the N set of scalar ODEs:

$$\alpha_j'(t) = \lambda_j \alpha_j, \quad j = 1 \dots N, \quad (1.8)$$

$$\alpha_j(0) = (v, \varphi_j). \quad (1.9)$$

The solution of (1.8) can be written as $\alpha_j(t) = e^{\lambda_j t} \alpha_j(0)$. Hence,

$$u(t) = \sum_{j=1}^N e^{\lambda_j t} \alpha_j(0) \varphi_j \quad (1.10)$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^N e^{\lambda_j t} (v, \varphi_j) \varphi_j, \quad (1.11)$$

and the semigroup $E(t)$ has a representation:

$$u(t) = E(t)v = \sum_{j=1}^N e^{\lambda_j t} (v, \varphi_j) \varphi_j. \quad (1.12)$$

If all the eigenvalues are negative, then $u(t) \rightarrow 0$ and hence, the zero solution is asymptotic stable. Further, atleast one eigenvalue is 0 and rest eigenvalues have negative real part, then zero solution is stable. In case, one eigenvalue is positive, then the zero solution. unstable.

For non-homogeneous system of linear ODE of the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{du}{dt} &= Au + f(t), \quad t > 0, \\ u(0) &= v \in \mathbb{R}^N, \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

where $f(t) \in \mathcal{R}^N$. Using Duhamel's principle, we with the help of semigroup $E(t)$ obtain a representation of solution as

$$u(t) := E(t)v + \int_0^t E(t-s) f(s) ds. \quad (1.14)$$

1.3 ODE in Banach Spaces.

Let X be a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Now, consider the following evolution equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{du}{dt} &= Au(t), \quad t \geq 0, \\ u(0) &= v \in X, \end{aligned} \quad (1.15)$$

where A is a bounded linear operator on X to itself, that is, $A \in BL(X)$. Its solution u can be written as

$$u = e^{At} v,$$

where representation of e^{tA} is given as in (1.6). With $E(t) = e^{tA}$, as in the previous subsection we can show that the family $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ forms uniformly continuous semigroup of bounded linear operators on the Banach space X .

For non-homogeneous linear ODE in Banach space X we can have exactly the same representation of solution u as in (1.14).

When X is a Hilbert space with inner-product (\cdot, \cdot) and A is a selfadjoint¹, compact linear operator on X , then it has countable number of real eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$. Then consider the corresponding set of normalised eigenvectors $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$. Indeed, $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ forms an orthonormal basis of X . If

$$u(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \alpha_j(t) \varphi_j, \quad \text{where } \alpha_j(t) = (u(t), \varphi_j), \quad (1.16)$$

then using orthonormal property, we obtain the following infinite system of scalar ODEs:

$$\alpha_j'(t) = \lambda_j \alpha_j, \quad \alpha_j(0) = (v, \varphi_j),$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) is the inner-product on X . On solving

$$\alpha_j t = e^{\lambda_j t} \alpha_j(0).$$

Hence

$$E(t)v = u(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{\lambda_j t} (v, \varphi_j) \varphi_j.$$

When $\|\cdot\|$ is the induced norm on X and at least one eigenvalue is zero with all are negative, then

$$\|E(t)v\| = \|u(t)\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \|(v, \varphi_j) \varphi_j\| \leq \|v\|,$$

and the solution is stable.

Below, we give an example of A as

$$Au(t) = \int_0^t K(t, s)u(s) ds,$$

where $K(\cdot, \cdot) \in L^2 \times L^2$ and $K(t, s) = K(s, t)$, that is, K is symmetric. With $X = L^2$, the operator $A \in BL(X)$ and A is self-adjoint. Now, we can write the solution u of (1.15) as

$$u(t) = E(t)v = e^{tA}v,$$

and we can also have a representation of u through the eigen-vectors. But when $K \in C^0 \times C^0$ and K is bounded, then with $X = C^0$ as the Banach space, we can write the solution in exponential form.

In all the above cases, $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ is an uniformly continuous semigroup and its generator is A . Note that the solvability of (1.15) is intimately connected with the existence of a family of uniformly continuous semigroup $E(t) = e^{At}$ with its generator as $A \in BL(X)$.

¹The bounded linear operator $A : X \rightarrow X$ is called self-adjoint, if

$$(A\phi, \psi) = (\phi, A\psi) \quad \forall \phi, \psi \in X.$$

1.4 For more general linear operator on X .

Consider the following linear homogeneous evolution equation :

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{du}{dt} &= Au(t), \quad t > 0, \\ u(0) &= v,\end{aligned}\tag{1.17}$$

where A is a linear not necessarily bounded operator on X with domain $D(A) \subset X$. In this case, we can ask the following question

Under what condition on A , it generates a semigroup of bounded linear operators on X ?

If so

Can it have a representation like exponential type?

Like in the previous case, does it have a relation with the solvability of the abstract evolution equation.

Some of these questions will be answered in the course of these lectures.

2 Semigroups

We begin by the definition of semigroup, and then discuss its properties. Throughout this section, assume that X is a Banach space with norm $\|\cdot\|$.

Definition 2.1. A family $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ of bounded linear operators on X is said to be a Semigroup on X , if it satisfies

- (i) $E(0) = I$,
- (ii) $E(t+s) = E(t)E(s)$, $t, s \geq 0$.

Definition 2.2. A linear operator A defined by

$$Av = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{E(t)v - v}{t}$$

with its domain of definition

$$D(A) := \{v \in X : \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{E(t)v - v}{t} \text{ exists}\}$$

is called the infinitesimal generator of the family of semigroups $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$.

Definition 2.3. A semigroup is said to be *uniformly continuous* with respect to operator norm $\|\cdot\|$ associated with X , if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|E(t) - I\| = 0.$$

Definition 2.4. A semigroup is said to be *strongly continuous* with respect to norm $\|\cdot\|$ associated with X , if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|E(t)v - v\| = 0 \quad \text{for } v \in X.$$

2.1 Uniformly Continuous Semigroups.

In this subsection, we shall discuss uniformly continuous semigroups and their properties.

Theorem 2.5. *Assume that the linear operator $A \in BL(X)$. Then the family $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ defined by*

$$E(t) := e^{At},$$

where

$$e^{tA} := \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^j t^j}{j!} \quad \text{with } A^0 = I$$

forms a uniformly continuous semigroup on X with its infinitesimal generator A .

Proof. Because of (1.7), it follows that

$$\|E(t)\| = \|e^{tA}\| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\|A\| t)^j}{j!} = e^{\|A\| t}, \quad t > 0,$$

and hence, $E(t)$ is welldefined with $E(0) = I$, where I is an identity map on X . Further, it is easy to show that $E(t)$ satisfies the semigroup property in the definition 2.1 (ii). Now it remains to show the uniform continuity property. Note that for $t > 0$

$$\|E(t) - I\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\|A\| t)^j}{j!} = e^{\|A\| t} - I,$$

and hence, it tends to zero as $t \rightarrow 0^+$. Further,

$$\left\| \frac{E(t) - I}{t} - A \right\| \leq \frac{1}{t} \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} \frac{(\|A\| t)^j}{j!} = \frac{1}{t} \left(e^{\|A\| t} - I - t\|A\| \right) \rightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+,$$

and hence, A is its infinitesimal generator with $D(A) = X$. This completes the rest of the proof. \square

Remark 2.1. If $\{E(t)\}, t \geq 0$ is a uniformly continuous semigroup, then there are constants $\omega \geq 0$ and $M \geq 1$ such that

$$\|E(t)\| \leq M e^{\omega t}.$$

Further, for $t, s \geq 0$ there holds:

$$\lim_{s \rightarrow t} \|E(t) - E(s)\| = 0.$$

To sketch a proof, observe from the property $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \|E(t) - I\| = 0$ that for small enough $\eta > 0$ with $0 \leq s \leq \eta$ there hold: $\|E(s)\| \leq M$. Clearly, $M \geq 1$. Now setting $t = n\eta + \delta$ with $0 \leq \delta < \eta$, it follows from the semigroup property that

$$\|E(t)\| = \|E(n\eta + \delta)\| = \|E(\delta) (E(\eta))^n\| \leq M^{n+1} \leq M e^{n \log M} \leq M e^{t \left(\log M / \eta \right)}.$$

Note that by choosing $\omega = \log M / \eta$, the first part of the result follows. For the second part, observe that for $t \geq s > 0$

$$\|E(t) - E(s)\| = \|E(s)(E(t-s) - I)\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow s,$$

and this completes the rest of the proof.

Given a bounded linear operator A on a Banach space, we can attach a uniformly continuous semigroup $E(t) = e^{tA}$ whose infinitesimal generator is A . Now let us ask: given a uniformly continuous semigroup on X , is it possible to attach a unique bounded linear operator A on X such that the given semigroup $E(t)$ is of the form e^{tA} ? The answer is in affirmative and it is stated below in terms of a Theorem.

Theorem 2.6. *Assume the $E(t)$ is a uniformly continuous semigroup on a Banach space X . Then, there exists a unique bounded linear operator A on X such that $E(t) = e^{tA}$, for $t > 0$.*

Proof. By the property of uniformly continuous semigroup we arrive at,

$$\|E(t) - I\| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Now, it is observed that for small enough $\rho > 0$, there holds

$$\left\| \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^\rho E(s) ds - I \right\| < 1.$$

As a consequence of von-Neumann's expansion, it follows that $\frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^\rho E(s) ds$ is invertible. For fixed ρ , we now claim that

$$A = \left(E(\rho) - I \right) \left(\int_0^\rho E(s) ds \right)^{-1}$$

is the infinitesimal generator of $E(t)$. Note that as $t \rightarrow 0^+$

$$\left(E(t) - I \right) \left(\int_0^\rho E(s) ds \right) = \frac{1}{t} \int_\rho^{\rho+t} E(s) ds - \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t E(s) ds \longrightarrow E(\rho) - I.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{E(t) - I}{t} \longrightarrow A, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0^+.$$

and it now follows that

$$A = \left(E(\rho) - I \right) \left(\int_0^\rho E(s) ds \right)^{-1}.$$

For uniqueness, assume contrary, that is, the uniqueness does not hold. Thus, assume there are atleast two distinct uniformly continuous semigroups, say, $E(t)$ and $G(t)$ and both having the same infinitesimal generator A . For $t > 0$, set $\tau = t/n$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} G(t) - E(t) &= G(n\tau) - E(n\tau) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} G((n-j-1)\tau) \left(G(\tau) - E(\tau) \right) E(j\tau). \end{aligned}$$

As $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\tau \rightarrow 0$ and further,

$$\begin{aligned} \|G(t) - E(t)\| &\leq nK(t) \|G(\tau) - E(\tau)\| \\ &= tK(t) \left\| \frac{G(\tau) - I}{\tau} - \frac{E(\tau) - I}{\tau} \right\| \longrightarrow 0, \quad \text{as } \tau \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $G(t) = E(t)$ which leads to a contradiction and this completes the rest of the proof. \square

Below, we establish a connection between the semigroup and the evolution equation.

Corollary 2.1. *Assume that $E(t) = e^{tA}$ is a uniformly continuous semigroup with its infinitesimal generator $A \in BL(X)$. Then,*

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t) = AE(t) = E(t)A,$$

and $u(t) = E(t)v$ is a solution of the abstract evolution equation:

$$\frac{du}{dt} = Au, \quad t > 0 \quad \text{with } u(0) = v \in X.$$

3 Strongly Continuous Semigroups.

In this section, we shall discuss strongly continuous semigroup or C^0 -Semigroup, its properties and its relation to abstract evolution equation.

3.1 Properties of the Semigroup.

As in case of uniformly continuous semigroup, we have the following boundedness property.

Proposition 3.1. *Assume that $E(t)$ is a C^0 -semigroup. Then there are constant $M \geq 1$ and real ω such that for $t \geq 0$*

$$\|E(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}.$$

Proof. As in case of uniformly continuous semi-group, we proceed to prove this exponential property provided we prove that there exist $M \geq 1$ and $\eta > 0$ such that for $0 \leq t \leq \eta$

$$\|E(t)\| \leq M.$$

Suppose this does not hold, that is, there is a sequence $\{t_m\}$ converging to zero such that $\|E(t_m)\| \geq m$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Since from the property of the semigroup, $E(t_m)v \rightarrow v$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, therefore, $\{E(t_m)v\}$ is bounded for every $v \in X$. Then, by uniform-boundedness principle (Banach-Steinhaus Theorem) the sequence $\{E(t_m)\}$ is bounded which leads to a contradiction. Hence, the result. \square

Theorem 3.1 (Properties). *Let $E(t)$ be a C^0 -semigroup and let A be its infinitesimal generator with domain $D(A)$ in X . Then the following properties hold:*

(i) For $v \in X$,

(a) the map $t \rightarrow E(t)v$ is a continuous from $[0, \infty)$ into X .

(b) $\int_0^t E(s)v ds \in D(A)$ and $A\left(\int_0^t E(s)v ds\right) = E(t)v - v$.

(ii) For $v \in D(A)$

(a) $E(t)v \in D(A)$, $t \geq 0$.

(b) $AE(t)v = E(t)Av$, $t > 0$.

(c) the map $t \rightarrow E(t)v$ is differentiable for $t > 0$.

(d) $\frac{d}{dt}(E(t)v) = AE(t)v$, $t > 0$.

Proof. For (i) (a), note that for any $\tau \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\|E(t + \tau)v - E(t)v\| &\leq \|E(t)\| \|E(\tau)v - v\| \\ &\leq M e^{\omega t} \|E(\tau)v - v\|.\end{aligned}$$

Then as $\tau \rightarrow 0$ the result follows for the right hand limit. Similarly, we can argue for left hand limit and then result follows.

For (i) (b), by the continuity of $E(t)$ we observe that for $h \rightarrow 0$

$$\frac{(E(h) - I)}{h} \int_0^t E(s)v \, ds = \frac{1}{h} \left(\int_t^{t+h} E(s)v \, ds - \int_0^h E(s)v \, ds \right) \rightarrow E(t)v - v,$$

and the result follows.

Now for (ii) (a) – (b) since $v \in D(A)$, then using semigroup property

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{E(h) E(t)v - E(t)v}{h} &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{E(t) E(h)v - E(t)v}{h} \\ &= E(t) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{E(h)v - v}{h} \\ &= E(t) Av.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, $E(t)v \in D(A)$ and $A E(t)v = E(t) Av$.

Now for (ii) (c) – (d), observe that for $v \in D(A), h > 0$ and for $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \left(\frac{E(t)v - E(t-h)v}{h} - E(t) Av \right) \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \left(E(t-h) \left(\frac{E(h)v - v}{h} \right) - E(t) Av \right) \\ &= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \left(E(t-h) \left(\frac{E(h)v - v}{h} - Av \right) - (E(t-h) - E(t)) Av \right) \rightarrow 0,\end{aligned}$$

since $\frac{E(h)v - v}{h} \rightarrow Av$ and the semigroup is bounded. Thus,

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{E(t)v - E(t-h)v}{h} = E(t) Av.$$

Similarly, it is easy to check that

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{E(t+h)v - E(t)v}{h} = E(t) Av.$$

Therefore, $\frac{d}{dt} E(t)v$ exists and is equal to $E(t) Av$ for $v \in D(A)$. This completes the rest of the proof. \square

Problem 3.1. Show that for $t > s \geq 0$ and for $v \in D(A)$

$$E(t)v - E(s)v = \int_s^t E(\tau) Av \, d\tau.$$

Using this result prove that the infinitesimal generator A defines the semigroup $E(t)$ uniquely.

Now we state an important Theorem regarding solvability of the abstract evolution equation.

Theorem 3.2. *Let A is the infinitesimal generator of C^0 -semigroup $\{E(t), t \geq 0\}$ on X with domain $D(A) \subset X$. Then for $v \in D(A)$, $u(t) = E(t)v$ defines a unique solution of the abstract evolution problem:*

$$\frac{du}{dt} = Au, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (3.18)$$

$$u(0) = v \quad (3.19)$$

satisfying $u \in C^0([0, \infty); D(A)) \cap C^1([0, \infty); X)$.

Proof. Let $v \in D(A)$ and define $u(t) := E(t)v$. By the Theorem 3.1 (ii) (b), it follows that

$$AE(t)v = E(t)Av$$

and by (ii) (c), the mapping

$$t \longrightarrow E(t)Av$$

is continuously differentiable from $[0, \infty)$ into $D(A)$. Further,

$$\frac{d}{dt}E(t)v = AE(t)v = E(t)Av,$$

and hence, $u(t)$ satisfies the abstract evolution equation (3.18) with initial condition $u(0) = v$.

For uniqueness, assume contrary, that is, the solution is not unique. Let u and w be two distinct solutions of the problem (3.18). Define $y(t)$ as

$$y(s) = E(t-s)w(s), \quad 0 \leq s \leq t.$$

Then,

$$\frac{dy}{ds} = -AE(t-s)w(s) + E(t-s)Aw(s) = 0.$$

and therefore, $y(s) = y(0)$, $s \in [0, t]$. In particular, $y(t) = w(t)$ and $y(0) = u(t)$. Hence, $w(t) = u(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$ which leads to a contradiction. Therefore, the solution is unique and this concludes the proof. \square

Remark 3.1. Note that if $v \notin D(A)$, then $E(t)v$ is not differentiable with respect to time. However, $u(t) = E(t)v$ with $v \in X$ can be thought of a generalized solution of (3.18).

Below, we discuss the properties of the generator.

Theorem 3.3 (Properties of the generator). *Let A be the infinitesimal generator of a C^0 -semigroup $\{E(t)\}$. Then, $D(A)$ is dense in X and A is closed.*

Proof. For any $v \in X$, from theorem 3.1 (i) (b), $\int_0^t E(s)v ds \in D(A)$. Setting $v_t = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t E(s)v ds$, then each $v_t \in D(A)$, $t > 0$. Since $E(s)v \rightarrow v$ as $s \rightarrow 0$, therefore, $v_t \rightarrow v$. This implies that $D(A)$ is dense in X .

To complete the rest of the proof, we need to prove that the operator A is closed.

Consider any sequence $\{v_n\}$ in $D(A)$ with $v_n \rightarrow v$ in X and $Av_n \rightarrow w$ in X , we now claim that $v \in D(A)$ and $w = Av$. Note that by the above argument:

$$\frac{E(t)v_n - v_n}{t} = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t E(s)Av_n ds,$$

and hence taking limit of both sides as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we arrive at

$$\frac{E(t)v - v}{t} = \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t E(s)w \, ds.$$

Now taking $t \rightarrow 0$ in both sides, it follows that $v \in D(A)$ and $Av = w$. This now completes the proof. □

3.2 Hille-Yosida Theorem

Note that for solvability of the abstract evolution equation (3.18)-(3.19), it is more pertinent to ask the following question:

Under what conditions on the operator A , it generates a C^0 -semigroup ?

The answer to the above question is given by the Hille-Yosida Theorem. Below, we give some definitions for our future use.

Definition 3.4. The semigroup $E(t)$ is called a contraction semigroup if $\|E(t)\| \leq 1$ for all $t \geq 0$.

Definition 3.5. The resolvent set $\rho(A)$ of the operator A is defined as

$$\rho(A) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : R(z; A) = (zI - A)^{-1} \text{ exists and bounded}\},$$

and $R(z; A)$ is called resolvent operator associated with A .

Below, we state the main theorem of this subsection.

Theorem 3.6 (Hille-Yosida Theorem). *A linear operator A on X with $D(A) \subset X$ is the infinitesimal generator of a C^0 -semigroup of contraction $E(t)$ with $\|E(t)\| \leq 1$ if and only if*

- (i) A is closed and $D(A)$ is dense in X .
- (ii) $\rho(A) \supset (0, \infty)$ and $\|R(\lambda; A)\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$ for $\lambda > 0$.

For the proof of the above theorem, we require some properties of Resolvent operator, which are given below.

Lemma 3.1 (Properties of Resolvent Operator). *Let A be the infinitesimal generator of a strongly continuous semigroup $E(t)$ of contraction on X . Then the following properties hold:*

- (i) (Resolvent Identity). For real λ and μ in $\rho(A)$,

$$R(\lambda; A) - R(\mu; A) = (\mu - \lambda) R(\lambda; A) R(\mu; A),$$

and

$$R(\lambda; A) R(\mu; A) = R(\mu; A) R(\lambda; A).$$

(ii) For $\lambda \in \rho(A)$ and $v \in X$, then $\lambda > 0$,

$$R(\lambda; A)v := \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} E(s)v \, ds,$$

and

$$\|R(\lambda; A)\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

Proof. From the definition, it is easy to show (i). For (ii), define for $v \in X$,

$$R(\lambda)v = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} E(s)v \, ds.$$

Since it is a contraction semigroup and $\lambda > 0$, the integral is well-defined. Moreover, the mapping $v \rightarrow R(\lambda)v$ is a linear map on X and

$$\|R(\lambda)v\| \leq \|v\| \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} \, ds \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \|v\|.$$

This is a bounded linear operator with

$$\|R(\lambda)\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Thus, to complete the proof, it remains to show that

$$R(\lambda) = R(\lambda; A), \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Now for $h > 0$ and $v \in X$, note that by definition

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{E(h) - I}{h}\right) R(\lambda)v &= \frac{1}{h} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} (E(s+h)v - E(s)v) \, ds \\ &= \frac{1}{h} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda(s-h)} E(s)v \, ds - \frac{1}{h} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} E(s)v \, ds \\ &= \left(\frac{e^{\lambda h} - 1}{h}\right) \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} E(s)v \, ds - \frac{e^{\lambda h}}{h} \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} E(s)v \, ds \\ &\rightarrow \lambda R(\lambda)v - v, \end{aligned}$$

as $h \rightarrow 0$. Hence, $R(\lambda)v \in D(A)$ and

$$AR(\lambda)v = \lambda R(\lambda)v - v.$$

Rewrite it as

$$(\lambda I - A)R(\lambda)v = v, \quad \text{for all } v \in X.$$

Now, for all $v \in D(A)$, observe that

$$\begin{aligned} R(\lambda)Av &= \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} E(s)Av \, ds = \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} \frac{d}{ds}(E(s)v) \, ds \\ &= \lambda \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda s} E(s)v \, ds - v \\ &= \lambda R(\lambda)v - v, \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$R(\lambda) (\lambda I - A)v = v, \text{ for all } v \in D(A).$$

Thus,

$$R(\lambda) = (\lambda I - A)^{-1},$$

and this completes the rest of the proof. \square

Lemma 3.2. *If A is defined as in Lemma 3.1, then there holds for all $v \in X$*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda R(\lambda; A)v = v.$$

Proof. Let us first prove the result for $v \in D(A)$. Using the definition and properties of the Resolvent operator, it follows that

$$\|\lambda R(\lambda; A)v - v\| = \|\lambda R(\lambda; A)v - v\| = \|\lambda R(\lambda; A)v - v\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \|Av\|,$$

and as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ this leads to zero.

Since $D(A)$ is dense in X , for any $v \in X$, there exists a sequence $\{v_n\}$ in $D(A)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda R(\lambda; A)v - v\| &\leq \|\lambda R(\lambda; A)(v - v_n)\| + \|\lambda R(\lambda; A)v_n - v_n\| + \|v_n - v\| \\ &\leq 2\|v_n - v\| + \|\lambda v_n - v_n\|, \end{aligned}$$

and this tend to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and then $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Now, the result follows and this concludes the proof. \square

In the sequel, we define a sequence of bounded linear operators on X called Yosida approximations, which approximate the operator A .

Definition 3.7. For $\lambda > 0$, the Yosida approximation A_λ of A is defined by

$$A_\lambda := \lambda A R(\lambda; A) = \lambda^2 R(\lambda; A) - \lambda I.$$

Lemma 3.3. *For $v \in D(A)$, there holds*

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} A_\lambda v = Av.$$

Proof. Using the definition of Yosida approximation and Lemma 3.2, we note that for $v \in D(A)$

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} A_\lambda v = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda A R(\lambda; A)v = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \lambda R(\lambda; A)Av = Av.$$

This concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.4. *The Yosida approximation A_λ is the infinitesimal generator of a uniformly continuous semigroup $\{E_\lambda(t) := e^{tA_\lambda}\}$ of contraction and for $\lambda, \mu > 0$*

$$\|E_\lambda(t)v - E_\mu(t)v\| = \|e^{tA_\lambda}v - e^{tA_\mu}v\| \leq t\|A_\lambda v - A_\mu v\|, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Proof. From the definition of Yosida approximation

$$\|e^{tA_\lambda}\| = e^{-\lambda t} \|e^{(t\lambda^2 R(\lambda; A))}\| \leq e^{-\lambda t} e^{(t\lambda^2 \|R(\lambda; A)\|)} \leq e^{-\lambda t} e^{\lambda t} = 1,$$

and it is a contraction.

For $\lambda, \mu > 0$, the resolvent operators $R(\lambda; A)$ and $R(\mu; A)$ commute, so also Yosida approximations A_λ, A_μ and the corresponding semigroups $E_\lambda(t), E_\mu(t)$. Note that

$$A_\mu E_\lambda(t) = E_\lambda(t) A_\mu$$

and for $v \in X$, using semigroup property

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_\lambda(t)v = A_\lambda E_\lambda(t)v = E_\lambda(t) A_\lambda v.$$

Then for $v \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} E_\lambda(t)v - E_\mu(t)v &= \int_0^t \frac{d}{ds} (E_\mu(t-s)E_\lambda(s)v) ds \\ &= \int_0^t E_\mu(t-s)E_\lambda(s)(A_\mu v - A_\lambda v) ds, \end{aligned}$$

and hence,

$$\|E_\lambda(t)v - E_\mu(t)v\| \leq \int_0^t \|E_\mu(t-s)\| \|E_\lambda(s)\| \|A_\mu v - A_\lambda v\| ds \leq t \|A_\mu v - A_\lambda v\|,$$

and this completes rest of the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.5.

Necessary Condition. Assume that A is the infinitesimal generator of a C^0 - semigroup $E(t)$ of contraction. We now claim that (i)-(ii) hold. As a consequence of Theorem 3.2, the condition (i) is easy to show. Now for (ii), we apply the proof of Lemma 3.1 (ii) to conclude the result.

Sufficient Condition. Assuming (i)-(ii) to hold for the linear operator A , we show that it generates a C^0 - semigroup $E(t)$ of contraction. From A , we now construct Yosida approximations for $\lambda > 0$ as A_λ . Since each A_λ is bounded linear operator on X , it generates uniformly continuous semigroup $E_\lambda(t)_{t \geq 0} = e^{tA_\lambda}$.

From Lemma 3.4, we note that as $\lambda, \mu \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\|E_\lambda(t)v - E_\mu(t)v\| \leq t \|A_\lambda v - A_\mu v\|, \quad t \geq 0.$$

tends to zero. Hence, we define, $E(t)v$ as

$$E(t)v = \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} E_\lambda(t)v, \quad t \geq 0, \quad v \in D(A) \tag{3.20}$$

Observe that $E(t)v$ exists for all $v \in D(A)$ and for $t \geq 0$. Since $\|E_\lambda(t)\| \leq 1$, using denseness property of $D(A)$ in X , it follows that (3.20) holds for all $v \in D(A)$, uniformly for t on compact subsets of $[0, \infty)$. Now it is easy to verify that $E(t)$ is a C^0 -semigroup of contraction.

To complete the rest of the proof, it remains to show that given linear operator A is the infinitesimal generator of $E(t)$. Write the generator of $E(t)$ as the operator B , that is, to show that $B = A$.

Observe that

$$E_\lambda(t)v - v = \int_0^t E_\lambda(s)A_\lambda v ds, \tag{3.21}$$

and for $v \in D(A)$ with the help of Lemmas 3.3-3.4

$$\|E_\lambda(s)A_\lambda v - E(s)Av\| \leq \|E_\lambda(s)\| \|A_\lambda v - Av\| + \|(E_\lambda(s) - E(s))Av\| \longrightarrow 0$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, passing limit in (3.21) as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, we arrive at for $v \in D(A)$

$$E(t)v - v = \int_0^t E(s)Av \, ds,$$

and thus, $D(A) \subseteq D(B)$. Note that for $v \in D(A)$

$$Bv = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{E(t)v - v}{t} = Av.$$

Since $\rho(A) \supset (0, \infty)$, $1 \in \rho(A)$ and hence,

$$(I - B)(D(A)) = (I - A)(D(A)) = X.$$

Therefore, by the necessity part of the theorem, it follows that $1 \in \rho(B)$, and

$$D(B) = (I - B)^{-1}X = D(A)$$

proving that $A = B$. This concludes the proof. \square

Remark 3.2. We can state a general Hille-Yosida Theorem with out proof. For a proof, one can check Pazy [3].

Theorem 3.8 (Hille-Yosida Theorem). *A linear operator A on X with $D(A) \subset X$ is the infinitesimal generator of a C^0 -semigroup $E(t)$ with $\|E(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$, for some $M \geq 1$ and for some real ω if and only if*

- (i) A is closed and $D(A)$ is dense in X .
- (ii) $\rho(A) \supset (\omega, \infty)$ and $\|R(\lambda; A)\| \leq \frac{M}{(\lambda - \omega)^n}$ for $\lambda > \omega$, $n \geq 1$.

When $A \in BL(X)$, then we write an exponential formula for the semigroup. Then, one is curious to know ' If A is unbounded linear operator, whether it is possible to write an exponential formula for the semigroup whose infinitesimal generator is A . Obviously, the exponential formula given through the infinite sum will land in difficulties and on the other hand

$$E(t)v := \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} e^{tA_\lambda}v, \quad t \geq 0.$$

Therefore, one way to attach a meaning it through the expression

$$E(t)v := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(I - \frac{t}{n}A \right)^{-n} v = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{n}{t}R\left(\frac{n}{t}; A\right) \right)^n v.$$

For a proof, see pp. 184-185 of Kesavan [2]

3.3 Lumer-Phillips Theorem

When X is a Hilbert space with innerproduct (\cdot, \cdot) , we have easily verifiable conditions on the linear operator A which generates C^0 -semigroup of contraction.

Definition 3.9. An operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is said to be dissipative if

$$\Re(Au, u) \leq 0, \quad \text{for all } u \in D(A).$$

Below, we state without proof the Lumer-Phillips Theorem. For a proof, see, Pazy[3].

Theorem 3.10 (Lumer Phillips Theorem). *Let $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ be a densely defined operator.*

- (i) *If A is dissipative and range of $(\lambda_0 I - A)$ is the whole of X for at least one $\lambda_0 > 0$, then A generates a C^0 -semigroup $E(t)$ of contractions.*
- (ii) *If A is the infinitesimal generator of C^0 -semigroup $E(t)$ of contractions, then range of $(\lambda I - A)$ is the whole of X for all $\lambda > 0$ and A is dissipative.*

3.4 Analytic Semigroups.

Often, we shall be using the definition of an analytic semigroup or the operator A being sectorial on X . Therefore, in this subsection, a part from the definition, we discuss some properties of analytic semigroup.

An operator $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$ is called sectorial operator on X , if A is densely defined closed operator on X , whose resolvent $R(z; A)$ is analytic in a sector :

$$\Sigma_\delta := \{z \neq 0 : |\arg z| < \delta \text{ with } \delta \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi)\},$$

and bounded by

$$\|R(z; A)\| \leq \frac{M}{|\lambda|} \quad \forall z \in \Sigma_\delta, \text{ for some } M > 0, \delta \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \pi). \quad (3.22)$$

The semigroup $E(t)$ generated by the generator A is called an analytic semigroup. Note that (see, Pazy [3])

$$E(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma e^{tz} R(z; A) dz \quad (3.23)$$

where the contour Γ may be taken as a suitable path in Σ_d from $\infty e^{-i\psi}$ to $\infty e^{i\psi}$ for $\psi \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \delta)$, that is,

$$\Gamma = \{z : \arg z = \psi \in (\frac{\pi}{2}, \delta)\}.$$

Observe that on differentiating (3.23), we obtain

$$E'(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma z e^{tz} R(z; A) dz, \quad (3.24)$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|E'(t)\| &\leq \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_\Gamma |z| e^{-t\Re z} \|R(z; A)\| |dz| \\ &\leq K \int_0^\infty e^{-ct} ds = \frac{K}{t}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

Note that

$$\|E(t)\| + t\|E'(t)\| \leq K. \quad (3.26)$$

3.5 Nonhomogeneous Evolution Equations.

In this subsection, we shall discuss the non-homogeneous abstract evolution equations. Given the infinitesimal generator A of a C^0 -semigroup $\{E(t)\}_{t \geq 0}$ on a Banach space X with domain $D(A) \subset X$, a function $u_0 \in X$ and a mapping $f : [0, T] \rightarrow X$, consider the following non-homogeneous abstract evolution problem:

$$\frac{du}{dt} = Au + f, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (3.27)$$

$$u(0) = u_0. \quad (3.28)$$

4 Applications.

In this section, we shall discuss some applications to evolution equations.

Example 4.1. Consider the 1st order linear PDE with Cauchy data:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x}, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0) &= v, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

With $X = L^2(\mathbb{R})$, choose $A\varphi = \frac{d\varphi}{dx}$ with its domain $D(A) = H^1(\mathbb{R})$. Then, $(A\varphi, \varphi) = (\varphi', \varphi) = -(\varphi, \varphi') = -(\varphi', \varphi) = -(A\varphi, \varphi)$. Thus $\Re(A\varphi, \varphi) = 0$ and the operator A is dissipative. Now we claim that the Range of $(\lambda I - A)$ is X , that is, for given $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, there is a unique solution of

$$\lambda\varphi - \varphi' = f, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

Note that using integrating factor, it follows that

$$-(e^{-\lambda x} \varphi)' = (e^{-\lambda x} f(x))$$

and on integrating from $-\infty$ to x , we arrive at

$$\varphi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x e^{\lambda(x-s)} f(s) ds.$$

Thus, for $\lambda > 0$, the Range of $(\lambda I - A)$ is the whole of $X = L^2(\mathbb{R})$.

Therefore, A generates a C^0 -semigroup of contraction $E(t)$ and $u(t) = E(t)v$. This also establish the solvability of (4.29). In this case, it is easy to write down $E(t)v$ explicitly as $E(t)v(x) = v(x - t)$.

Observe that it is not easy to verify the conditions specially the condition (ii) of the Hille-Yosida Theorem.

Example 4.2. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= \Delta u, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}^d \\ u(x, 0) &= v(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d, \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

To discuss its solvability, choose $X = L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $A = \Delta$, with its domain $D(A) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. With $t \rightarrow u(t) \in X$, we can write (4.30) in abstract form as in (1.17). Now for $\varphi \in D(A)$,

$$(A\varphi, \varphi) = (\Delta\varphi, \varphi) = -\|\nabla\varphi\|^2 \leq 0,$$

so that the operator A is dissipative. We claim that for $\lambda > 0$ the Range of $(\lambda I - A)$ is the whole of $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, consider the problem :

$$\lambda u - \Delta u = f, \quad x \in (\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \lambda > 0. \quad (4.31)$$

Note that

$$(\nabla u, \nabla \chi) + \lambda(u, \chi) = (f, \chi) \quad \forall \chi \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

By Lax- Milgram Theorem, the above problem has a unique solution $u \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for a given $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. More over using Fourier transform and Plancheral's identity, we can show that (4.31) has a unique solution $u \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Hence,

$$\text{Range } (\lambda I - A) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

and A generates C^0 -semigroup of contraction. Moreover, it completes the solvability of the abstract problem. Here, using Fourier transform, one can write the semigroup as

$$E(t)v(x) := \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{d/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-|x-y|^2/4t} v(y) dy.$$

If v has compact support, then $u(x, t) = E(t)v(x)$ is non-zero for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$, when $t > 0$. This is known as infinite speed of propagation of solution. We now observe that when v has a compact support, then $u(x, t) \rightarrow 0$ exponentially as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, the effect at large distances although nonzero is negligible.

Example 4.3. consider the Schrodinger equation:

$$u_t = i\Delta u, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d \quad (4.32)$$

with initial condition $u(0) = v$. With $X = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, set $A\varphi = i\Delta\varphi$ and $D(A) = H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. In order to apply the Lumer-Phillip's theorem, we need to check the A is dissipative, that is, for $v \in D(A)$,

$$(Av, v) = -i\|\nabla v\|^2,$$

and

$$\Re(Av, v) = 0.$$

Therefore, it remains to show $\text{Range } (\lambda I - A) = L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $u \in D(A)$, $\lambda > 0$. Now for $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we need to find a unique solution $v \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of the problem:

$$\lambda v - i\Delta v = f.$$

As has been done earlier, we apply the Lax-Milgram Lemma to infer the existence of a unique solution $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and use Fourier transformation technique to infer that $v \in H^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. This completes the rest of the proof.

Example 4.4. Let X be a Hilbert space with inner-product (\cdot, \cdot) with norm $\|\cdot\|$. Consider the abstract evolution equation :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{du}{dt} &= Au, \quad t > 0, \\ u(0) &= v, \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

where $A : D(A) \subset X \rightarrow X$, $v \in X$ and the map $t \rightarrow u(t) \in X$. Here, we assume that $-A$ is self-adjoint, positive definite linear operator with compact inverse. Therefore, there is an orthonormal basis of eigen-functions $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ and corresponding eigenvalues $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ with

$$0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_j \leq \dots \quad \text{with } \lambda_j \rightarrow \infty.$$

Hence, for any $w \in X$, there holds generalized Fourier expansion

$$w = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (w, \varphi_j) \varphi_j \quad \text{and} \quad -Aw = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j (w, \varphi_j) \varphi_j.$$

Setting

$$u(t) := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} u_j(t) \varphi_j,$$

where the generalized Fourier coefficient $u_j(t)$ is given by $u_j(t) = (u(t), \varphi_j)$. Forming inner-product between (4.33) and φ_j yields infinite number of scalar ODEs:

$$\frac{du_j}{dt} + \lambda_j u_j = 0, \quad t > 0 \quad \text{with } u_j(0) = v_j, \quad (4.34)$$

where $v_j = (v, \varphi_j)$. On solving, we obtain

$$u_j(t) = e^{-\lambda_j t} v_j,$$

and hence,

$$u(t) = E(t)v := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda_j t} (v, \varphi_j) \varphi_j.$$

This is a C^0 semigroup as per the Lumer-Phillips Theorem and we note that by Parseval's identity

$$\|E(t)v\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-2\lambda_j t} (v, \varphi_j)^2 \quad (4.35)$$

$$\leq e^{-\lambda_1 t} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (v, \varphi_j)^2 = e^{-\lambda_1 t} \|v\|^2 \leq \|v\|^2. \quad (4.36)$$

Hence, it is a C^0 -semigroup of contraction. Further, observe that

$$E'(t)v = AE(t)v = - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j e^{-\lambda_j t} (v, \varphi_j) \varphi_j,$$

and hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|E'(t)v\|^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda_j^2 e^{-2t\lambda_j} (v, \varphi_j)^2 \\ &\leq \sup_j (\lambda_j^2 t^2 e^{-2t\lambda_j}) \frac{1}{t^2} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (v, \varphi_j)^2. \end{aligned}$$

With $C^2 = \sup_j (\lambda_j^2 t^2 e^{-2t\lambda_j})$, we now arrive at

$$\|E'(t)v\| \leq \frac{C}{t} \|v\|, \quad (4.37)$$

and this is called smoothing property as

$$\|E'(t)v\| = \|AE(t)v\| = \|Au(t)\| \leq \frac{C}{t} \|v\|.$$

In this case, the resolvent operator $R(z; A)v$ has the representation as

$$R(z; A)v = (zI - A)^{-1}v = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{z + \lambda_j} \right) (v, \varphi_j) \varphi_j.$$

Now if $z \in \Sigma_\delta$, $\delta \in (\pi/2, \pi)$, then we obtain

$$\|R(z; A)\| = \sup_j \frac{1}{|z + \lambda_j|} \leq \frac{C}{|z|}, \quad (4.38)$$

as $|z + \lambda_j| \geq |z|$, if $\Re z \geq 0$, and if $\Re z < 0$, then it is greater than $|\Im z| \geq (\sin \delta)^{-1} |z|$.

Therefore, A is sectorial and $\{E(t)\}$ is an analytic semi-group.

To provide an concrete example, consider the following linear parabolic problem: Find $u = u(x, t)$ such that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = Au, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad t > 0, \quad (4.39)$$

$$u(x, t) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega, \quad t > 0, \quad (4.40)$$

$$u(x, 0) = v, \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (4.41)$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is a bounded domain with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and the operator A is defined as

$$-A\phi := - \sum_{j,k=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(a_{jk} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_k} \right) + \sum_{j=1}^d b_j \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_j} + a_0 \phi. \quad (4.42)$$

Assume that

- the coefficients a_{jk}, b_j, a_0 are smooth and bounded with $a_{jk} = a_{kj}$, $\nabla \cdot b = 0$ and $a_0 > 0$, where $b = (b_1, \dots, b_d)$.
- the operator $-A$ is uniformly elliptic, that is, there exists $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d a_{jk} \xi_j \xi_k \geq \alpha_0 |\xi|^2, \quad 0 \neq \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

With $X = L^2(\Omega)$ with innerproduct (\cdot, \cdot) and $D(A) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$, we note that

$$(-A\phi, \phi) \geq \alpha_0 \|\phi\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 \quad \text{for all } \phi \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (4.43)$$

Observe that $D(A)$ is dense in X and from (4.43), it follows that A is dissipative.

Moreover, we need to verify that for a fixed $\lambda_0 > 0$, the Range of $(\lambda_0 - A) = X$, that is, for fixed $\lambda_0 > 0$ and $f \in X = L^2(\Omega)$, the following elliptic problem:

$$\begin{aligned} -Aw + \lambda_0 w &= f \quad \text{in } \Omega, \\ w &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned}$$

has a unique solution $w \in D(A) := H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$. Using the Lax-Milgram Lemma, it is easy to check since $-A$ satisfies coercivity (4.43) condition that the unique weak solution $w \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Then by elliptic regularity, it follows that $w \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ and hence, an application of Lummer-Phillips Theorem yields the existence of C^0 -semigroup $E(t)$ of contraction, whose generator is A and the resolvent operator $R(\lambda; A)$ satisfies

$$\|R(\lambda; A)\| \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}, \quad \lambda > 0.$$

It can be shown that $E(t)$ generates an analytic semigroup on $X = L^2(\Omega)$. If $b_j = 0$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, the corresponding operator $-A$ is self-adjoint, that is,

$$(-A\phi, \psi) = (\phi, -A\psi) \quad \forall \phi, \psi \in D(A),$$

and positive definite. Moreover, $-A$ has a compact inverse, which can be checked from the elliptic theory, see, [2] and [1]. So we can have a countable eigen-values $\{\lambda_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ with $\lambda_{j+1} \geq \lambda_j \geq \dots > \lambda_1 > 0$ and the corresponding eigenvectors $\{\varphi_j\}_{j=1}^{\infty}$ forms an orthonormal basis of X . Therefore, using generalized Fourier expansion, it follows that

$$u(x, t) = E(t)v := \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} e^{-t\lambda_j} (v, \varphi_j) \varphi_j.$$

Problem 4.1. *Show that the solution decays exponentially.*

Example 4.5. Second Order Hyperbolic Equations. Consider $u(x, t)$ satisfying

$$u_{tt} = Lu \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad (4.44)$$

$$u(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad (4.45)$$

$$u(x, 0) = g, \quad u_t(x, 0) = h \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad (4.46)$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^d with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$ and the operator A is given by

$$-L\phi := - \sum_{j,k=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \left(a_{jk} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_k} \right) + a_0 \phi. \quad (4.47)$$

Assume that

- the coefficients a_{jk}, b_j, a_0 are smooth and bounded with $a_{jk} = a_{kj}$ and $a_0 > 0$.
- the operator $-L$ is uniformly elliptic, that is, there exists $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^d a_{jk} \xi_j \xi_k \geq \alpha_0 |\xi|^2, \quad 0 \neq \xi \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

In order to put into a first order system, set $v = u_t$ and rewrite (4.44) as a system:

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= v, & v_t &= Lu \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u(x, 0) &= g, & v(x, 0) &= h \quad \text{in } \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the following coercivity condition is satisfied: there exists a positive constant α_0 such that

$$(-L\phi, \phi) \geq \alpha_0 \|\phi\|_{H_0^1(\Omega)}^2 \quad \text{for all } \phi \in H_0^1(\Omega). \quad (4.48)$$

Now define X as a product space:

$$X = H_0^1(\Omega) \times L^2(\Omega)$$

with norm $\|(\phi, \psi)\| = \left(a(\phi, \phi) + \|\psi\|^2 \right)^{1/2}$, where $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a bilinear form associated with the operator $-A$ given by

$$(-L\phi, \chi) := a(\phi, \chi) =: \sum_{j,k=1}^d \int_{\Omega} a_{jk} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial x_k} \frac{\partial \chi}{\partial x_j} dx + \int_{\Omega} a_0 \phi \chi dx.$$

Note that $t \rightarrow (u(t), v(t)) \in X$ and we define operator A on the product space X as

$$A(u, v) = (v, -Lu) \quad (4.49)$$

with the domain of A is given by

$$D(A) = H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega) \times H_0^1(\Omega).$$

It is easy to check that $D(A)$ is dense in X . It is left to the reader to verify that A is closed. Note that for $(u, v) \in D(A)$

$$(A(u, v), (u, v)) = a(v, u) + (-Lu, v) = a(v, u) - a(u, v) = 0$$

as $a(\cdot, \cdot)$ is symmetric and this implies that A is dissipative. Now for $\lambda > 0$, it remains to show that the Range of $(\lambda I - A)$ is X , that is, for any $(f_1, f_2) \in X$, the operator equation:

$$\lambda(u, v) - A(u, v) = (f_1, f_2)$$

has a unique solution $(u, v) \in D(A)$. Equivalently, the following two equations:

$$\lambda u - v = f_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda v + Lu = f_2 \quad (4.50)$$

have a pair of solution $(u, v) \in D(A)$. On adding these two equations, it follows that

$$\lambda^2 u + Lu = \lambda f_1 + f_2. \quad (4.51)$$

Since $\lambda_1 f_1 + f_2 \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $\lambda^2 > 0$, we obtain from Lax-Milgram Lemma and elliptic regularity theory that, there exists a unique solution $u \in H^2(\Omega) \cap H_0^1(\Omega)$ to the problem (4.51). Since from (4.50), we obtain: $v = u - \lambda f_1 \in H_0^1(\Omega)$. Thus, we have shown that (4.50) has a unique solution $(u, v) \in D(A)$, for $(f_1, f_2) \in X$ which implies that the Range of $(\lambda I - A)$ is X . Now an application of the Lumer-Phillips theorem yields the existence of C^0 semigroup $E(t)$ of contraction.

References

- [1] L. C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol. 19, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 1998 (Reprinted 2002).
- [2] S. Kesavan, *Topics in Functional Analysis and Applications*, New Age International(P) Limited, New Delhi, 1989 (Reprint 2003).
- [3] A. Pazy, *Semigroups of Linear Operators and Applications to Partial Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, Applied Math. Sciences, Vol. 44, 1983.